Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis with features mimicking toxic epidermal necrolysis secondary to amiodarone

Dear Editor,

Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis (AGEP) is an infrequent cutaneous drug eruption, with a short latency of 24–48 h between the exposure and the onset of lesions. The symptoms consist of fever and small, sterile, non-follicular pustules on a background of erythema. Mucous membrane and internal organ involvement are unusual. The most common laboratory abnormality is leukocytosis and neutrophilia > 7000/mL. A score, developed by the EuroSCAR group, that takes into account clinical and histopathological criteria is useful for diagnosis. AGEP is usually a self-limited disease, which typically resolves with cutaneous desquamation in less than 15 days after suspending the causative drug, and it has an excellent prognosis. However, although infrequent, patients can develop purpuric, targetoid, and bullous lesions, areas of denuded skin, a positive Nikolsky sign, and mucosal and multi-organ involvements, which denotes a more serious outcome. The present report describes a patient with AGEP induced by an atypical drug, who presented with this serious clinical picture.

A 69-year-old female patient, with a history of supraventricular extrasystoles, presented with fever, malaise, and small, non-follicular pustules on a background of erythema in the axillae and groin. Twenty-four hours earlier she had switched her antiarrhythmic treatment from bisoprolol to amiodarone. Upon admission, she was dyspneic and presented tachycardia, tachypnea, and suboptimal oxygen saturation. Her mucous membranes were not involved and the Nikolsky sign was negative. Her laboratory studies revealed leukocytosis (26,689 cell/mm³) with neutrophilia (88.25%). Blood cultures showed no growth and the chest X-ray did not reveal any abnormalities. AGEP was suspected, amiodarone was suspended, skin biopsies were obtained, and oral meprednisone 0.5 mg/kg/day was started because of her pulmonary symptoms. Histopathology revealed subcorneal pustules with no necrotic keratinocytes (Fig. 1). The EuroSCAR score was 11, compatible with definite AGEP. In spite of the initial treatment, 24 h later the patient’s lesions evolved and extended. She experienced diarrhea and developed purpuric and targetoid lesions in the thighs and the gluteal area (Fig. 2); and bullous lesions that led to small erosions on her flanks (Fig. 3). Nikolsky sign was again negative. Taking into account this torpid progression, it was hypothesized that intestinal absorption of corticosteroid could not have been sufficient, the prolonged half-life of amiodarone was playing a role, and the patient could have been undergoing a different drug reaction such as toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) or that she could have been suffering from an overlapping of two adverse drug reactions. At this point new skin biopsies were obtained. The histopathology was again compatible with AGEP. Meprednisone dose was raised to 1 mg/kg/day and was administered intravenously. Finally, the skin lesions and systemic symptoms resolved with skin desquamation 11 days after the onset. However, after
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Figure 1 Subcorneal pustules and spongiosis (Hematoxylin & eosin, x100).
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This histopathological consideration, 
longed intended medication. 

Figure 2 Purpuric and targetoid lesions in the thighs and the gluteal area.

Figure 3 Bullous lesions that led to small erosions on flanks.

five months from the onset of symptoms, she continued to developed new recurrences every time corticosteroid was intended to be suspended.

The relationship between the beginning of the new antiarrhythmic and the development of systemic and cutaneous symptoms, together with the clinical and histopathological findings, resulted in the diagnosis of AGEP induced by amiodarone. After extended research of the English and Spanish literature, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first report of AGEP triggered by this medication. Amiodarone is a fat soluble drug with a prolonged half-life of 15–142 days (mean of 58) even after the administration of a single dose. This could explain why the patient kept presenting new lesions after the discontinuation of the drug even though the medication had been suspended.

This case exhibited unusual features for classical AGEP: targetoid and bullous lesions together with torpid evolution and internal organ involvement. A diagnosis of TEN was considered, but in the absence of necrotic keratinocytes in the biopsy, it was concluded that this was actually TEN-like AGEP presentation.

Although internal organ involvement is present in less than 17–20% of patients, when it occurs, hepatic and renal failure are the most common manifestations. It can also present with respiratory symptoms as in the present patient, in whom after ruling out infectious causes and TEN, pulmonary involvement was attributed to AGEP. Moreover, though in classical AGEP the cessation of the causative drug is the only necessary intervention, systemic corticosteroids are mandatory when organ involvement or severe cutaneous lesions are present, as in the present case.

We believe that this case supports the decision of some authors to consider AGEP a severe cutaneous drug reaction and why it is important to closely follow these patients, in order to identify critical cases and intensify treatment to reduce mortality rate.
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ASIA case after injection of liquid silicone

Dear Editor,

The appreciation for physical beauty goes back to ancient times. The 20th century brought great changes, with its demanding socioeconomic requirements, influenced by the industrial and petrochemical revolutions, in addition to the ancient human passion: the search for aesthetic perfection.

In 1948, silicone, considered immunologically inert, aroused the interest of the medical community due to the need for biocompatible surgical materials,\(^1\) gaining importance in cosmiatry. However, from the 1990s, cases of connective tissue disease began to appear in patients with silicone implants, exhibiting fibrous tissue reactions similar to scleroderma. The relationship between silicone implants and appearance of nonspecific symptoms that do not meet diagnostic criteria for connective tissue disease suggests that an undefined syndrome may occur due to silicone exposure.\(^2\)

The analyses of these manifestations led to the definition known as siliconosis, which includes the following: myalgia; abnormal fatigue; cognitive impairment; depression; dry eyes and mouth; skin abnormalities; paresthesias; oedema and sensitivity in axillary glands; fever of undetermined origin; alopecia; headache; morning stiffness.\(^2\)

In recent years, in addition to siliconosis, three other diseases characterized by overactive immune responses have been described, namely: Gulf War syndrome, macrophagic myofascitis, and post-vaccination phenomena. Since these diseases share a similar set of signs and symptoms, they were condensed by Shoenfeld and Agmon-Levin in 2011 under the term ASIA: autoimmune/inflammatory syndrome induced by adjuvants.\(^3\) By definition, a case of ASIA characterizes symptoms and systemic signs or autoimmune disease, developed after exposure to external stimuli, with production of antibodies against the adjuvant involved. The diagnostic criteria are listed in table 1.\(^4\) These criteria have not yet been validated; therefore, there is no consensus in the literature about how many of them should be present for the diagnosis of ASIA. In their cohort, Watada et al. included patients with at least one major, or one major and one minor criteria as cases of the syndrome.\(^5\)

In the present case, a 49-year-old woman had received injections of liquid silicone into the buttocks ten years before seeking care. Painful, brownish, hard plaques had appeared on the lateral aspect of the left thigh two years previously (Fig. 1). The lesions evolved into spontaneous outbreaks and remissions, and emerged concurrently with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>ASIA diagnostic criteria. Adapted from Shoenfeld &amp; Agmon-Levin, 2011.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major criteria</strong></td>
<td>Exposure to external stimuli (infection, vaccine, silicone, adjuvant) prior to clinical manifestations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance of &quot;typical&quot; clinical manifestations:</td>
<td>Myalgia, myositis or muscle weakness; Arthralgia and/or arthritis; Chronic fatigue, non-restorative sleep or sleep disorders; Neurological manifestations (especially those related to demyelination); Cognitive abnormalities, memory loss; Fever, dry mouth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal of the triggering agent induces clinical improvement.</td>
<td>Typical biopsy of the affected organs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minor criteria</strong></td>
<td>Appearance of autoantibodies or antibodies directed against the suspect adjuvant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other clinical manifestations (e.g., irritable bowel syndrome)</td>
<td>Specific HLA (HLA DRB1, HLA DQB1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of autoimmune disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^\text{How to cite this article: Libardi CS, Diniz LM, Musso C, Badaró BA. ASIA case after injection of liquid silicone. An Bras Dermatol. 2020;95:542-4.}\)

\(^\text{Study conducted at the Hospital Universitário Cassiano Antônio Moraes, Vitória, ES, Brazil.}\)